



GRANT AGREEMENT N°223866

Deliverable	D01.01
Nature	Report
Dissemination	Public

D01.1 - Classification of networked control systems

Report Preparation Date	15/08/2009 Project month: 12
Authors	Sandro ZAMPIERI, University of Padova Alexandre SEURET, INRIA, France
Report Version	V 1
Doc ID Code	INRIACO01_D01.01_15SEP2009_V1
Contract Start Date	01/SEP/2008
Duration	36 months
Project Coordinator :	Carlos CANUDAS DE WIT, INRIA, France



Theme 3:

Information and Communication Technologies

The target systems of WP7 and WP8 highlight the fact that applications enabled by networked control systems pose diverse challenges and require different controller organization. In Task 1.1 we will attempt a classification of the different types of networked control systems of practical interest from the point of view of the control architecture they require. In the deliverable D01.01, a classification of the problems that one has to face are presented following the three aspects of Control Of Networks, Control Over a Network, and Multi-Agent Systems. Examples of the axes considered for the classification are remote controlled vs. autonomous, centralized vs. decentralized (coordination and cooperation), fixed vs. flexible architecture (time and state varying topology).

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Current key problems	4
2.1	Control of networks	4
2.2	Control over networks	4
2.3	Multiagent systems	5
3	Recent major accomplishments, trends	5
3.1	Control of networks	5
3.2	Control over networks	6
3.3	Multi-agent systems	7
4	Forecast	8
4.1	Control of networks	8
4.2	Control over networks	9
4.3	Multi-agent systems	9

1 Introduction

Many infrastructures and service systems of the present-day society can naturally be described as networks of a huge number of simple interacting units. Examples coming from the technological fields include transportation networks, power grids, water distribution networks, telephone network and internet. But other very relevant examples can be found in other areas. Consider for instance the global financial networks, the genetic expression networks, the ecological networks, social networks and so on.

Limiting our attention to the engineering field, the reasons of the technological success of this design paradigm is manifold. In fact, the main winning features of networked structured systems came from their low cost, their flexibility and easy re-configurability, their natural reliability and robustness to failure, their adaptation capability, etc. Some of these characteristics, though quite clearly recognizable from natural networked system examples listed above, are still not completely understood and so their engineering implementation is still to come. This is mainly due to the fact that it is still not completely clear how the rules describing the local interactions and the network structure influences the properties of the global emerging system. For this reason it is still a difficult task to design these local rules and the network architecture in order to obtain some prescribed global performance. However, it is clear both in the academia and in the industry that this paradigm will play a central role in the next future.

Currently the engineers, and the control engineers in particular, have to cope with many new problems arising from networked systems when designing complex systems. In fact, thanks to the low cost and the flexibility of communication networks (Ethernet, Wi-Fi, Internet, CAN...), these are widely used in industrial control systems (remote control, wireless sensors, collaborative systems, embedded systems...). For instance, the web technology on the internet today appears as a natural, inexpensive way to ensure the communication link in remote controlled systems. On the other hand, at the moment the engineer has few tools which allow him/her to exploit this architecture and they are mainly concerned to reduce the negative impact caused by the very nature of the communication networks (delays, data loss, data quantization, asynchronous sampling,...).

For these reasons we expect that the impact of networks in control are going to be more relevant in the future than in the present. Moreover, the problems arising in this context are rather novel, especially because of their interdisciplinary nature. In fact, their study requires, on the one hand, to have a deep knowledge in automatic control, computer science and communication networks, and, on the other hand, to capture the interplay between these disciplines.

Activities in the area of Networked Systems can be categorized into three major fields

1. Control of networks;
2. Control over networks;
3. Multi-agent systems.

Control of networks is mainly concerned with providing a certain level of performance to a data flow, while achieving efficient and fair utilization of network resources.

Control over networks deals with the design of control strategies which are adapted to the effect of unreliable communication links through which the control data are exchanged.

Multi-agent systems analyze how the network architecture and the interactions between the network components influence the global control goals. More precisely the problem here is to understand how local laws, which describe the behavior of the network units, influence the global behavior of the networked system.

2 Current key problems

2.1 Control of networks

Control-based approaches in communication networks is a very large research field. In order to be able to control the network performance, it is necessary to measure and modify the network parameters. The current layered communication architecture is not ideal for cross-layer designs, where information from the lower layers must be made available at the application layer and where the application layer must be able to modify the behavior of the lower layer protocols dynamically. Hence, new protocols and protocol models are needed.

In the area of control of networks major problems of interest are call admission, scheduling, routing, flow control, power control, and various other resource allocation problems. The objective is to provide quality of service (QoS), while achieving efficient and fair utilization of network resources.

2.2 Control over networks

Sensor and actuators network is a control architecture in which sensors, actuators and the computing units, where the control algorithms run, are remotely positioned and communicate with each other through a communication network. This scenario occurs in the field of embedded control systems, in large manufacturing systems, in automotive systems, etc. The advantages of this architecture listed above have some drawbacks due to the characteristic features of the communication methods adopted, namely there is the need to deal with the following drawbacks:

1. Data transmission through communication network unavoidably introduces **time delays** in the control loops [100, 25].

2. Due to data traffic congestion, data collision or interference, can cause **packet loss**.
3. In networked control systems communication occur through digital channels with **finite capacity**.
4. In control over networks is important to evaluate the **influence of the QoS** provided by the network on the performance of the control. The characteristics of the QoS are mainly determined by the delays in the message transfer, the message losses and the message integrity.
5. In case of energy consumption limitations, it may be convenient a strategy according to which the sensor does not communicate and the actuator does not act until some undesired event occurs (**Event based control**).
6. Mainly when using wireless communication networks, much attention has to be devoted to the **security** issues [17].

2.3 Multiagent systems

The research in this field can be categorized into two areas. The first area deals with the design of distributed estimation techniques which can be applied in the framework of the sensor networks technology. Since collecting measurements from distributed wireless sensors nodes at a single location for on-line data processing may not be feasible due to several reasons, there is a growing need for in-network data processing tools and algorithms that provide high performance in terms of online estimation. These algorithms have to reduce the communication load among all sensor nodes, to be robust to node failures and packet losses, and to be suitable for distributed control applications.

The second area of active research deals with the control of mobile autonomous robots. Groups of autonomous agents with computing, communication, and mobility capabilities are expected to become economically feasible and perform a variety of spatially distributed sensing tasks, such as search and rescue, surveillance, environmental monitoring, and exploration. As examples of motion coordination problems, teams of mobile autonomous agents require the ability to cover a region of interest, to assume a specified pattern, to rendezvous at a common point, or to move in a synchronized manner as in flocking behaviors.

3 Recent major accomplishments, trends

3.1 Control of networks

The success of the Internet as a worldwide information network can be attributed to the feedback mechanisms that control the data transfer in the transport layer of the Internet Protocol (IP) stack. The tremendous complexity of the Internet makes it extremely difficult to be modeled and analyzed, and it has been questioned whether mathematical theory can offer any major improvements in this area. Recently, however, significant progress in the theoretical understanding of network congestion control has been made following seminal work by Kelly and co-workers [65]. By explicitly modeling the congestion measure signal fed back to sources, posing the network flow control as an optimization problem where the objective is to maximize the total source utility, it is shown that the rate control problem can be solved in a completely decentralized manner [65, 74]. To ensure that the system will reach and maintain

a favorable equilibrium, it is important to assess the dynamical properties, such as stability and convergence, of the schemes. The above results ignored the effect of network delay, and assumed that price information is available instantaneously at the source, that the sources take immediate action, and that the new rates affect the link prices instantaneously. However, stability of the protocols in equilibrium depends critically on the feedback delay and on the interactions between the protocol implementations and the network dynamics.

Some of the recent accomplishments in the area of congestion control include development of mathematical models for flow control under various Internet protocols [80, 64, 55, 59, 35]. The importance of accurate fluid flow models for analysis and design has been illustrated [117]. Scalable and distributed optimization algorithms have been developed for these control systems [94, 82, 115, 70, 71, 95, 18, 11, 8]. Effects of time delays and nonlinearities in the network flow models have also been considered recently [7, 40, 41, 96, 125, 121, 52, 126].

Wireless networks are especially interesting from a resource control point of view. Whereas the link capacities in wireline networks are fixed, the capacities of wireless links can be adjusted by the allocation of communication resources, such as transmit powers, bandwidths, or time-slot fractions, to different links. Adjusting the resource allocation changes the link capacities, influences the optimal routing of data flows, and alters the total utility of the network. Hence, optimal network operation can only be achieved by coordinating the operation across the networking stack. This is often referred to as cross-layer optimization. Congestion control and resource allocation for wireless networks are of growing importance [3, 130, 9, 10, 4, 87, 127].

3.2 Control over networks

While many contributions were devoted to the design of networked control systems considering delays, data loss and quantization, little attention has still been devoted on event based design [15, 34] and on security issues [17] arising in this framework.

Delays

Considering the delays caused by communication networks, the mainstream research has been devoted to the design of control/observation algorithms that can stand the delay variations (jitter phenomenon) and their unpredictability. Both are sources of problems when trying to apply the classical, predictor-based control laws [99]. Several works on tele-operation (two-actors situations) introduced the question of transmission delays, first, in the *constant case* [16], [42], [47]. In the more realistic case of *variable delays*, [122] generalized the predictor techniques, by assuming that a dynamical ordinary differential equation model of the delay is available. This is realistic only in the case of a single-owner Ethernet network. In the other cases, a possible solution consists in introducing an input buffer. This technique makes the delay constant, at the price of a lower performance [109]. Another origin of variable delays in network implementation is due to the time-sampling effect. Following the lines of [45], [128] one can see time-sampling as source of an additional, variable delay [109], generally, due to the computer architecture and operating system.

Another approach consists in combining robust control theory and network calculus theory. First, by network calculus theory it is possible to estimate a bound of the end-to-end delays in taking into account the whole network properties. These delays are then translated into uncertainties useful for robust control synthesis [49]. Extension of this work is also related to the control of networks. The solution proposed in [36] consists in defining packets scheduling algorithms inside the network devices in order to optimize

the quality of service and hence to reduce the effect of the network on the robust controller.

Data loss

The state of the art on design control systems that take into account the effects of packet loss and packet delay in networked control systems have been surveyed by Hespanha (2007) [54]. In particular, in [112] it is considered the effect of Bernoulli packet loss between the sensor and the estimator in optimal estimation. This work has been further extended to include both packet loss and random delay in [106] and smart sensors in [51]. More interesting is the scenario of packet loss between the controller and the actuator, because the separation principle can be applied only for TCP-like communication protocols where packet loss acknowledgment is available to the controller, while for UDP-like communication protocols the separation principle does not apply [107], [57]. In this scenario for which standard controller design tools cannot be applied, one of most promising and effective approaches is to design static linear controllers and filters by modeling packet loss and stochastic uncertainty, since it can be recast as convex optimization problem, as shown in [38]. Note that in some situations, packet losses can be modeled as additional delays [109].

Quantization and coding

The first problem considered in the literature is related to the minimum information rate which is needed for the stabilization of a linear system. In [89, 88, 119] it has been shown that this minimum rate depends on the unstable eigenvalues of the system. Several generalization of this have been proposed [58, 81, 39]. In particular, these results hold true for infinite memory quantizers. In [39] the quantized stabilization through finite memory and by memoryless quantizers is treated. In the scenario in which the digital communication channel is noisy, the techniques proposed above do not work. Some important contributions have been given in [103] where the fundamental limitations due to noise are explored. Some other important contribution on this directions are given in [111, 79].

QoS and control

If the controller is designed only considering the plant model and the specifications, without considering the problem of the implementation on a distributed system, then it is necessary to evaluate the QoS provided by the distributed computing system in order to characterize the robustness of the controller with respect to the provided QoS. In this way it is possible to compare different control strategies according their sensitivity to the QoS level [62]. Therefore, on the one hand this method requires to identify all the mechanisms which govern the QoS, to model the QoS and to evaluate it, and, on the other, to evaluate its impact on the process control application. Moreover it is worth to mention methods in which the controller is designed by integrating the behavior of the distributed system. Either the knowledge of the QoS is evaluated off-line through a model of the QoS [49] or this knowledge is obtained on-line by measuring the QoS by means of metrology services [69]. We finally mention the use of control over network methods in a robotic context [43].

3.3 Multi-agent systems

In the area of distributed control some important contributions have been done in the last years.

Recently new interesting results have been proposed in the context of distributed optimal control. In [33] an efficient strategy for the design of distributed controllers have been proposed. More recently [102] derived necessary and sufficient conditions distinguishing when a decentralized optimal control can be recast into a convex optimization problem. While distributed estimation for sensor networks is a quite active area of research in the field of communication theory, computer science and signal

processing [1, 2], only recently considerable attention has been devoted to this area within the control community. The paper [93] first related the distributed estimation problem to the problem of finding a consensus in a distributed manner. This idea suggested a new approach to distributed Kalman filtering [114, 92]. [86] provides a nice survey on this subject. In [124] it is shown how the previous problems can be recast as an convex optimization problem. Interesting extensions to networks with switching topology can be found in the seminal paper [120] and in [60, 98, 123], while extensions to randomly switching topology have been proposed in [23].

The literature on multi-robot systems is extensive and rapidly growing. References with a robotics focus include the survey in [26], the text [14] on behavior-based robotics, the recent special issue [13] of the IEEE Transaction on Robotics and Automation, and the survey article [50] on task allocation problems. A comprehensive modeling paradigm is also beginning to emerge. An important contribution towards a network model of mobile interacting robots is introduced in [116]. This model consists of a group of identical “distributed anonymous mobile robots” characterized as follows: no explicit communication takes place between them, and at each time instant of an “activation schedule,” each robot senses the relative position of all other robots and moves according to a pre-specified algorithm. Communication complexity for control and communication algorithms in multi-robot systems are analyzed in [66]. A comprehensive set of models and the time complexity analysis of numerous algorithms are proposed in [77, 78]. As regard as distributed motion coordination algorithms, much progress has been made on pattern formation [116, 20, 63, 113, 108], flocking [60, 118, 91], self-assembly [67], swarm aggregation [48], gradient climbing [90], deployment [32, 30, 46], rendezvous [12, 72, 73, 31], cyclic pursuit [24, 76], vehicle routing [75, 110], and connectivity maintenance problems [129, 105]. Also specific research has been devoted to distributed task allocation problems: distributed auction algorithms are discussed in [27, 85] building on the classic works in [21, 22], a distributed MILP solver is proposed in [6], a spatially distributed geometric scheme is proposed in [44], target allocation for vehicles with nonholonomic constraints is studied in [97, 104].

4 Forecast

4.1 Control of networks

The area of control of networks is expected to grow since control methodologies are explicitly being applied to new problems. For congestion control, more accurate network models will be considered, e.g., in which each communication channel may have a different time-varying and uncertain time delay. The coupling between wireline and wireless networks is also of growing importance for wireless Internet and other applications [56, 83, 84].

Theories and engineering principles for dynamically allocating resources in wireless ad hoc networks to ensure quality of service are needed for a wide range of applications. One interesting suggestion is to have a formal and possibly optimization-based theory for the design of network protocols based on a model of the underlying network and on a specification of the application requirements. The application of mathematical decomposition techniques to networked systems has just begun to appear [28, 29, 61].

Breaking up the layered structure of the networking stack may also have negative consequences, partially in terms of maintenance and compatibility issues but also in terms of the resulting performance. In particular, it has been observed that cross-layer coordination protocols can introduce dependency relations and unintended interactions [68]: in some situations, adaption mechanisms in different layers

can start working against each other, leading to worse practical performance than in a layered network. It is thus important to develop control theoretic tools for analyzing protocol dynamics in order to guarantee stable and efficient overall behavior.

Finally web-server systems pose another type of control of computer networks problems [53, 101].

4.2 Control over networks

Delays

As mentioned above, much effort aimed at making the network controlled system robust to constant, or predictable delays. This is worth being continued and, if possible, generalized to more general cases. For instance, how to avoid a penalizing buffer strategy? How to predict the delay without a model? Few results make use of delay identification algorithms so to improve the control through adaptive strategies. This could be linked to recent progresses in delay estimation [19], [37]. The control/protocol co-design probably remains also to be explored more deeply.

Data loss

The previous work was mainly concerned to single sensor-controller-actuator. Current research is concerned with multiple distributed sensors, actuators and controllers. In this scenario many problems still remain to be solved. For example it is not clear whether each sensor should send the raw measurement or a local estimate of the state. In the latter case, it is not trivial how to optimally fuse local state estimates. Moreover, when controllers are distributed and can receive local information, there is a need for coordination in order to achieve a common goal. How this coordination should be performed is still an open problem, even at the level of architecture design.

Quantization and coding

As mentioned above, much work still needs to be done in developing control and estimation strategies which work when the data are transmitted through a digital noisy channel. This is a relevant problem because, when using wireless communication networks, the noise may not be negligible. The most common models of noisy digital channels is the binary symmetric channel and the erasure channel. Although some foundational results are available in the literature, there has not been enough effort in the development of error correcting codes which are adapted to control and/or estimation goals and which are practical from the algorithmic complexity point of view.

QoS and control

Specific efforts will be needed towards the development of co-design strategies, namely strategies in which the design of the scheduling, of the data transfer protocols and of the controller is dealt jointly. In particular, wireless networks and schemes for dynamic priorities for the message scheduling will be considered. The priorities will be based on the performance of the control application.

4.3 Multi-agent systems

Many interesting questions still remain unanswered in the area of multi-agent systems. Indeed, it is widely unclear how to design of local laws which yield a prescribed global behavior. The picture is clearer in some specific and simple cases, such as, for instance, in the distributed averaging problem. But even in this simple example there are still many things to be understood. For instance it is not yet clear what network topologies yield better performance in terms of robustness to failure, flexibility, reliability and adaptivity. The mathematical instruments are still insufficient, though there are promising

methodologies which are coming out [5]. Finally, much work will be necessary in order to connect the system theoretic approach to distributed estimation for sensor networks to the techniques which are being proposed for solutions of the same problems in the fields of communication and information theory, computer science and signal processing.

On the subject of multi-robot networks, future research will focus on developing a broad theoretical modeling framework for robotic networks with a rich application catalog of combinatorial and geometric tasks. For a detailed realistic model combining mobile robotics and ad hoc wireless networking, there will be much interest in designing and analyzing algorithms with appropriate performance, robustness and scalability properties for various task allocation, surveillance, and information gathering applications. It is fair to say that we should aim at developing a full suite of results on time, communication, space and energy complexity for algorithms which tolerate processor and communication failures in face of wireless and physical congestion. From a technical viewpoint, the key challenges lay at the intersection of distributed computation, combinatorial optimization, computational geometry, and control theory.

References

- [1] Special issue on sensor networks and applications. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 91(8), August 2003.
- [2] Special issue on networking and information theory. *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, 52(6), June 2006.
- [3] A. Abate, M. Chen, and S. Sastry. Analysis of an implementable application layer scheme for flow control over wireless networks. In *Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems*, pages 701–708, Kyoto, Japan, July 2006.
- [4] D. Acemoglu, A. Ozdaglar, and R. Srikant. The marginal user principle for resource allocation in wireless networks. In *IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control*, pages 1544–1549, December 2004.
- [5] Réka Albert and Albert-László Barabási. Statistical mechanics of complex networks. *Rev. Modern Phys.*, 74(1):47–97, 2002.
- [6] M. Alighanbari and J. P. How. Robust decentralized task assignment for cooperative UAVs. In *AIAA Conf. on Guidance, Navigation and Control*, Keystone, CO, August 2006.
- [7] T. Alpcan and T. Basar. A globally stable adaptive congestion control scheme for internet-style networks with delay. *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Networking*, 13:1261–1274, 2005.
- [8] T. Alpcan, T. Basar, and R. Tempo. Randomized algorithms for stability and robustness analysis of high-speed communication networks. *IEEE Trans. on Neural Networks*, 16:1229–1241, 2005.
- [9] X. Fan T. Alpcan, M. Arcak, T.J. Wen, and T. Basar. A passivity approach to game-theoretic cdma power control. *Automatica*, 42:1837–1847, 2006.
- [10] T. Alpcann, T. Basar, and S. Dey. A power control game based on outage probabilities for multicell wireless data networks. *IEEE Trans. on Wireless Communications*, 5:890–899, 2006.

- [11] E. Altman, T. Basar, T. Jimenez, and N. Shimkin. Competitive routing in networks with polynomial costs. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 47:92–96, 2002.
- [12] H. Ando, Y. Oasa, I. Suzuki, and M. Yamashita. Distributed memoryless point convergence algorithm for mobile robots with limited visibility. *Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 15(5):818–828, 1999.
- [13] T. Arai, E. Pagello, and L. E. Parker. Guest editorial: Advances in multirobot systems. *Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 18(5):655–661, 2002.
- [14] R. C. Arkin. *Behavior-Based Robotics*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, Cambridge, MA, 1998.
- [15] K.J. Astrom and B.M. Bernhardsson. Comparison of riemann and lebesgue sampling for first order stochastic systems. In *Proceedings of the 41st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pages 2011–2016, 2002.
- [16] J.M. Azorin, O. Reinoso, J.M. Sabater, R.P. Neco, and R. Aracil. Dynamic analysis for a teleoperation system with time delay. In *CCA 2003, IEEE Conference on Control Applications, Vol.2*, pages 1170–1175, June 2003.
- [17] J.S. Baras. Security and trust for wireless autonomic networks : System and control methods. *European Journal of Control*, 13:105–133, 2007.
- [18] T. Basar and R. Srikant. A stackelberg network game with a large number of followers. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 115:479–490, 2002.
- [19] L. Belkoura, J.P. Richard, and M. Fliess. On-line identification of systems with delayed inputs. In *MTNS'06, 16th Conf. Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems, invited session "Networked systems"*, Kyoto, Japan, July 2006.
- [20] C. Belta and V. Kumar. Abstraction and control for groups of robots. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 20(5):865–875, 2004.
- [21] D. P. Bertsekas and D. A. Castañón. Parallel synchronous and asynchronous implementations of the auction algorithm. *Parallel Computing*, 17:707–732, 1991.
- [22] D. P. Bertsekas and D. A. Castañón. Parallel primal-dual methods for the minimum cost flow problem. *Computational Optimization and Applications*, 2(4):317–336, 1993.
- [23] Stephen Boyd, Arpita Ghosh, Balaji Prabhakar, and Devavrat Shah. Randomized gossip algorithms. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 52(6):2508–2530, 2006.
- [24] A. M. Bruckstein, N. Cohen, and A. Efrat. Ants, crickets, and frogs in cyclic pursuit. Technical Report CIS 9105, Center for Intelligent Systems, Technion, Haifa, Israel, July 1991. Available electronically at <http://www.cs.technion.ac.il/tech-reports>.
- [25] C. Canudas de Wit. Invited session on advances in networked controlled systems. In *ACC'06, 25th IEEE American Control Conference*, Mineapolis, Minnesota, USA, June 2006.

- [26] Y. Uny Cao, A. S. Fukunaga, and A. Kahng. Cooperative mobile robotics: Antecedents and directions. *Autonomous Robots*, 4(1):7–27, 1997.
- [27] D. A. Castañón and C. Wu. Distributed algorithms for dynamic reassignment. In *IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control*, pages 13–18, Maui, HI, December 2003.
- [28] L. Chen, S. H. Low, and J. Doyle. Joint congestion control and media access control design for ad hoc wireless networks. In *IEEE Infocom*, Miami, FL, USA, 2005.
- [29] M. Chiang. Balancing transport and physical layers in wireless multihop networks: Jointly optimal congestion control and power control. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 23(1), 2005.
- [30] J. Cortés and F. Bullo. Coordination and geometric optimization via distributed dynamical systems. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 44(5):1543–1574, 2005.
- [31] J. Cortés, S. Martínez, and F. Bullo. Robust rendezvous for mobile autonomous agents via proximity graphs in arbitrary dimensions. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 51(8):1289–1298, 2006.
- [32] J. Cortés, S. Martínez, T. Karatas, and F. Bullo. Coverage control for mobile sensing networks. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 20(2):243–255, 2004.
- [33] Raffaello D’Andrea and Geir E. Dullerud. Distributed control design for spatially interconnected systems. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 48(9):1478–1495, 2003.
- [34] C. Canudas de Wit, J. Jaglin, and C. Siclet. Energy-aware 3-level coding and control co-design for sensor network systems. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Control Applications*, Singapore, 2007.
- [35] S. Deb and R. Srikant. Rate-based versus queue-based models of congestion control. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 51:606–619, 2006.
- [36] I. Diouri, J.-P. Georges, and E. Rondeau. Accommodation of delays for ncs using classification of service. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Networking, Sensing and Control*, London, UK, 2007.
- [37] S. Drakunov, W. Perruquetti, J.P. Richard, and L. Belkoura. Delay identification in time-delay systems using variable structure observers. *Annual Reviews in Control*, 30(2):143–158, 2006.
- [38] N. Elia and J.N. Eisebeis. Limitation of linear control over packet drop networks. In *Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, volume 5, pages 5152–5157, Bahamas, December 2004.
- [39] Fabio Fagnani and Sandro Zampieri. Quantized stabilization of linear systems: complexity versus performance. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 49(9):1534–1548, 2004.
- [40] X. Fan, M. Arcaç, and J. T. Wen. Robustness of network flow control against disturbances and time-delay. *Systems & Control Letters*, 53:13–29, 2004.

- [41] X. Fan, S. Kalyanaraman, M. Arcak, and Y. S. Chan. Delay robustness and aqm in networks. In *Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems*, pages 695–700, Kyoto, Japan, July 2006.
- [42] A. Fattouh and O. Sename. H_{∞} -based impedance control of teleoperation systems with time delay. In *4th IFAC Workshop on Time Delay Systems*, Rocquencourt, France, July 2003.
- [43] Ph. Fraisse. Towards networked control of robots by using wi-fi technology. In *Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems*, Kyoto, Japan, July 2006.
- [44] E. Frazzoli and F. Bullo. Decentralized algorithms for vehicle routing in a stochastic time-varying environment. In *IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control*, pages 3357–3363, Paradise Island, Bahamas, December 2004.
- [45] E. Fridman, A. Seuret, and J.P. Richard. Robust sampled-data stabilization of linear systems: An input delay approach. *Automatica*, 40(8):1441–1446, 2004.
- [46] A. Ganguli, J. Cortés, and F. Bullo. Distributed deployment of asynchronous guards in art galleries. In *American Control Conference*, pages 1416–1421, Minneapolis, MN, June 2006.
- [47] C.E. Garcia, B. Morales, R. Carelli, and J. Postigo. Stability analysis for a teleoperation system with time delay and force feedback. In *CDC'00, 39th Conference on Decision and Control*, Sydney, Australia, December 2000.
- [48] V. Gazi and K. M. Passino. Stability analysis of swarms. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 48(4):692–697, 2003.
- [49] J.P. Georges, N. Vatsanski, E. Rondeau, C. Aubrun, and S.L. Jämsä-Jounela. Control compensation based on upper bound delay in networked control systems. In *Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems*, Kyoto, Japan, July 2006.
- [50] B. P. Gerkey and M. J. Mataric. A formal analysis and taxonomy of task allocation in multi-robot systems. *International Journal of Robotics Research*, 23(9):939–954, 2004.
- [51] V. Gupta, D. Spanos, B. Hassibi, and R. M. Murray. Optimal LQG control across a packet-dropping link. *Submitted to Systems and Control Letters*, 2005.
- [52] H. Han, S. Shakkottai, C. V. Hollot, R. Srikant, and D. Towsley. Multi-path tcp: A joint congestion control and routing scheme to exploit path diversity in the internet,. *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Networking*, 14:1260–1271, 2006.
- [53] J. L. Hellerstein, Y. Diao, S. Parekh, and D. M. Tilbury. *Feedback Control of Computing Systems*. John Wiley, 2004.
- [54] J.P. Hespanha, P. Naghshtabrizi, and Y. Xu. Survey of recent results in networked control systems. *Proceedings of IEEE*, 95(1):138–162, 2007.
- [55] C. V. Hollot, V. Misra, D. Towsley, and W. B. Gong. Analysis and design of controllers for aqm routers supporting tcp flows. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 47:945–959, 2002.

- [56] E. Hossain, D. I. Kim, and V. K. Bhargava. Analysis of tcp performance under joint rate and power adaptation in cellular wcdma networks. *IEEE Trans. on Wireless Comm*, 3(3), 2004.
- [57] O. C. Imer, S. Yuksel, and T. Basar. Optimal control of dynamical systems over unreliable communication links. *To appear in Automatica*, July 2006.
- [58] Hideaki Ishii and Tamer Başar. Remote control of LTI systems over networks with state quantization. *Systems Control Lett.*, 54(1):15–31, 2005.
- [59] K. Jacobsson, H. Hjalmarsson, and K. H. Johansson. Towards accurate congestion control models: Validation and stability analysis. In *Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems*, pages 672–682, Kyoto, Japan, July 2006.
- [60] Ali Jadbabaie, Jie Lin, and A. Stephen Morse. Coordination of groups of mobile autonomous agents using nearest neighbor rules. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 48(6):988–1001, 2003.
- [61] B. Johansson and M. Johansson. Mathematical decomposition techniques for distributed cross-layer optimization of data networks. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2006.
- [62] G. Juanele and G. Mouney. Real time distributed systems : Qos and impact on the performances of process control applications. In *Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems*, Kyoto, Japan, July 2006.
- [63] E. W. Justh and P. S. Krishnaprasad. Equilibria and steering laws for planar formations. *Systems & Control Letters*, 52(1):25–38, 2004.
- [64] F. Kelly. Motion planning and control problems for underactuated robots. In B. Engquist and W. Schmid, editors, *Mathematical modeling of the internet*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 2001.
- [65] F. Kelly, A. Maulloo, and D. Tan. Rate control in communication networks: shadow prices, proportional fairness and stability. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 49:237–252, 1998.
- [66] E. Klavins. Communication complexity of multi-robot systems. In J.-D. Boissonnat, J. W. Burdick, K. Goldberg, and S. Hutchinson, editors, *Algorithmic Foundations of Robotics V*, volume 7 of *Springer Tracts in Advanced Robotics*, Berlin Heidelberg, 2003. Springer Verlag.
- [67] E. Klavins, R. Ghrist, and D. Lipsky. A grammatical approach to self-organizing robotic systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 51(6):949–962, 2006.
- [68] V. Kwadia and P. R. Kumar. A cautionary perspective on cross layer design. *IEEE Wireless Communication*, 12(1):3–11, 2005.
- [69] F. Lepage, T. Divoux, and F. Michaut. Control parameters based on qos monitoring. In *Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems*, Kyoto, Japan, July 2006.

- [70] I. Lestas and G. Vinnicombe. Scalable decentralized robust stability certificates for networks of interconnected heterogeneous dynamical systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 51:1613–1625, 2006.
- [71] I. C. Lestas and G. Vinnicombe. Scalable robust stability for nonsymmetric heterogeneous networks. *Automatica*, 43:714–723, 2007.
- [72] J. Lin, A. S. Morse, and B. D. O. Anderson. The multi-agent rendezvous problem: An extended summary. In V. Kumar, N. E. Leonard, and A. S. Morse, editors, *Proceedings of the 2003 Block Island Workshop on Cooperative Control*, volume 309 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*, pages 257–282. Springer Verlag, New York, 2004.
- [73] Z. Lin, M. Broucke, and B. Francis. Local control strategies for groups of mobile autonomous agents. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 49(4):622–629, 2004.
- [74] S. H. Low and D. E. Lapsley. Optimization flow control i: Basic algorithm and convergence. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, 7(6):861–874, 1999.
- [75] V. J. Lumelsky and K. R. Harinarayan. Decentralized motion planning for multiple mobile robots: the cocktail party model. *Autonomous Robots*, 4(1):121–135, 1997.
- [76] J. A. Marshall, M. E. Broucke, and B. A. Francis. Formations of vehicles in cyclic pursuit. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 49(11):1963–1974, 2004.
- [77] S. Martínez, F. Bullo, J. Cortés, and E. Frazzoli. On synchronous robotic networks – Part I: Models, tasks and complexity. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 53(1), 2008. To appear.
- [78] S. Martínez, F. Bullo, J. Cortés, and E. Frazzoli. On synchronous robotic networks – Part II: Time complexity of rendezvous and deployment algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 53(1), 2008. To appear.
- [79] Nuno C. Martins, Munther A. Dahleh, and John C. Doyle. Disturbance attenuation bounds in the presence of a remote preview. In *Networked embedded sensing and control*, volume 331 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci.*, pages 269–285. Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [80] S. Mascolo. Congestion control in high-speed communication networks using the smith principle. *Automatica*, 35(12):1921–1935, 1999.
- [81] Alexey S. Matveev and Andrey V. Savkin. Multirate stabilization of linear multiple sensor systems via limited capacity communication channels. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 44(2):584–617 (electronic), 2005.
- [82] J. Mo and J. Walrand. Fair end-to-end window-based congestion control. *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Networking*, 8:556–567, 2000.
- [83] N. Möller, K. H. Johansson, and H. Hjalmarsson. Making retransmission delays in wireless links friendlier to TCP. In *IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Paradise Island, Bahamas, 2004.

- [84] N. Möller, I. Cabrera Molero, K. H. Johansson, J. Petersson, R. Skog, and Å. Arvidsson. Using radio network feedback to improve TCP performance over cellular networks. In *IEEE Conference on Decision and Control and European Control Conference*, Seville, Spain, 2005. Invited paper.
- [85] B. J. Moore and K. M. Passino. Distributed task assignment for mobile agents. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 52(4):749–753, 2007.
- [86] R.M. Murray, J.A. Fax, R. Olfati Saber, and D. Spanos. Consensus and cooperation in multi-agent networked systems. *Proceedings of IEEE*, pages 215–233, February 2007.
- [87] J. Musacchio and J. Walrand. Wifi access point pricing as a dynamic game. *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Networking*, 14:289–301, 2006.
- [88] Girish N. Nair and Robin J. Evans. Stabilization with data-rate-limited feedback: tightest attainable bounds. *Systems Control Lett.*, 41(1):49–56, 2000.
- [89] Girish N. Nair and Robin J. Evans. Exponential stabilisability of finite-dimensional linear systems with limited data rates. *Automatica J. IFAC*, 39(4):585–593, 2003.
- [90] P. Ögren, E. Fiorelli, and N. E. Leonard. Cooperative control of mobile sensor networks: adaptive gradient climbing in a distributed environment. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 49(8):1292–1302, 2004.
- [91] R. Olfati-Saber. Flocking for multi-agent dynamic systems: Algorithms and theory. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 51(3):401–420, 2006.
- [92] Reza Olfati-Saber. Distributed Kalman filtering and sensor fusion in sensor networks. In *Networked embedded sensing and control*, volume 331 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci.*, pages 157–167. Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [93] Reza Olfati-Saber and Richard M. Murray. Consensus problems in networks of agents with switching topology and time-delays. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 49(9):1520–1533, 2004.
- [94] F. Paganini, Z. Wang, J. C. Doyle, and S. H. Low. Congestion control for high performance, stability, and fairness in general networks. *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Networking*, 13:43–56, 2005.
- [95] L. Pavel. A nested noncooperative osnr game in optical links with dynamic gain filters. In *IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control*, pages 6958–6965, Sevilla, Spain, December 2005.
- [96] P-F. Quet and H. Ozbay. On the design of aqm supporting tcp flows using robust control theory. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 49:1031–1036, 2004.
- [97] S. Rathinam, R. Sengupta, and S. Darbha. A resource allocation algorithm for multi-vehicle systems with non holonomic constraints. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 4:98–104, 2007.
- [98] Wei Ren and Randal W. Beard. Consensus seeking in multiagent systems under dynamically changing interaction topologies. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 50(5):655–661, 2005.

- [99] J.P. Richard. Time-delay systems: An overview of some recent advances and open problems. *Automatica*, 39(10):1667–1694, 2003.
- [100] J.P. Richard and T. Divoux. *Systèmes commandés en réseau*. Traités IC2, Information, Commande, Communication. Hermès Lavoisier, 2007.
- [101] A. Robertsson, B. Wittenmark, and M. Kihl. Analysis and design of admission control in web-server systems. In *American Control Conference*, Denver, Colorado, USA, 2003.
- [102] Michael Rotkowitz and Sanjay Lall. Affine controller parameterization for decentralized control over Banach spaces. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 51(9):1497–1500, 2006.
- [103] Anant Sahai and Sanjoy Mitter. The necessity and sufficiency of anytime capacity for stabilization of a linear system over a noisy communication link. I. Scalar systems. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 52(8):3369–3395, 2006.
- [104] K. Savla, E. Frazzoli, and F. Bullo. Traveling Salesperson Problems for the Dubins vehicle. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2007. (Submitted Jun 2006) To appear.
- [105] K. Savla, G. Notarstefano, and F. Bullo. Maintaining limited-range connectivity among second-order agents. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, November 2006. Submitted.
- [106] L. Schenato. Kalman filtering for networked control systems with random delay and packet loss. In *Conference of Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems (MTNS'06)*, Kyoto, Japan, July 2006.
- [107] L. Schenato, B. Sinopoli, M. Franceschetti, K. Poolla, and S.S. Sastry. Foundations of control and estimation over lossy networks. *Proceedings of IEEE*, 95(1):163–187, 2007.
- [108] R. Sepulchre, D. Paley, and N. E. Leonard. Stabilization of planar collective motion: All-to-all communication. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2007. To appear.
- [109] A. Seuret, F. Michaut, J.P. Richard, and T. Divoux. Networked control using GPS synchronization. In *ACC'06, 25th IEEE American Control Conference*, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA, June 2006.
- [110] V. Sharma, M. Savchenko, E. Frazzoli, and P. Voulgaris. Time complexity of sensor-based vehicle routing. In S. Thrun, G. Sukhatme, S. Schaal, and O. Brock, editors, *Robotics: Science and Systems*, pages 297–304. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2005.
- [111] Tunc Simsek, Rahul Jain, and Pravin Varaiya. Scalar estimation and control with noisy binary observations. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 49(9):1598–1603, 2004.
- [112] B. Sinopoli, L. Schenato, M. Franceschetti, K. Poolla, M.I. Jordan, and S. Sastry. Kalman filtering with intermittent observations. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 49(9):1453–1464, September 2004.
- [113] S. L. Smith and F. Bullo. Target assignment for robotic networks: Asymptotic performance under limited communication. In *American Control Conference*, New York, July 2007. To appear.

- [114] D. Spanos, R. Olfati-Saber, and R.M. Murray. Approximate distributed kalman filtering in sensor networks with quantifiable performance. In *Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Information Processing in Sensor Networks, IPSN05*, pages 133–139, 2005.
- [115] C-F. Su, G. De Veciana, and J. Walrand. Explicit rate flow control for abr services in atm networks. *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Networking*, 8:350–361, 2000.
- [116] I. Suzuki and M. Yamashita. Distributed anonymous mobile robots: Formation of geometric patterns. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 28(4):1347–1363, 1999.
- [117] A. Tang, K. Jacobsson, L. L. H. Andrew, and S. H. Low. An accurate link model and its application to stability analysis of fast tcp. In *IEEE INFOCOM*, Anchorage, Alaska, USA, 2007.
- [118] H. Tanner, A. Jadbabaie, and G.J. Pappas. Flocking in fixed and switching networks. *IEEE Transaction on Automatic Control*, 52(5):863–868, 2007.
- [119] Sekhar Tatikonda and Sanjoy Mitter. Control under communication constraints. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 49(7):1056–1068, 2004.
- [120] J. N. Tsitsiklis and M. Athans. Convergence and asymptotic agreement in distributed decision problems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 29(1):42–50, 1984.
- [121] H. U. Unal, B. A. Ayyildiz, A. Iftar, and H. Ozbay. Robust controller design for multiple time-delay systems: The case of data-communication networks. In *Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems*, pages 63–70, Kyoto, Japan, July 2006.
- [122] E. Witrant, C. Canudas-de Wit, and D. Georges. Remote output stabilization under two channels time-varying delays. In *4th IFAC Workshop on Time Delay Systems*, Rocquencourt, France, July 2003.
- [123] F. Xiao and L. Wang. State consensus for multi-agent systems with switching topologies and time-varying delays. *Internat. J. Control*, 79(10):1277–1284, 2006.
- [124] Lin Xiao and Stephen Boyd. Fast linear iterations for distributed averaging. *Systems Control Lett.*, 53(1):65–78, 2004.
- [125] P. Yan, Y. Gao, and H. Ozbay. A variable structure control approach to active queue management for tcp with ec. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, 13:203–215, 2005.
- [126] L. Ying, G. E. Dullerud, and R. Srikant. Global stability of internet congestion controllers with heterogeneous delays. *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Networking*, 14:579–590, 2006.
- [127] L. Ying, R. Srikant, A. Eryilmaz, and G. E. Dullerud. Distributed fair resource allocation in cellular networks in the presence of heterogeneous delays. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 52:129–134, 2007.
- [128] M. Yu, L. Wang, and Chu T. An LMI approach to network control systems with data packet dropout and transmission delays. In *MTNS'04, 15th Conf. Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems, invited session "Networked systems"*, Leuven, Belgium, July 2004.

- [129] M. M. Zavlanos and G. J. Pappas. Controlling connectivity of dynamic graphs. In *IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control and European Control Conference*, pages 6388–6393, Seville, Spain, December 2005.
- [130] F. Zheng and J. Nelson. An h-infinity approach to congestion control design for aqm routers supporting tcp flows in wireless access networks. *Computer Networks*, 51:1684–1704, 2007.